

**Florida Cancer Plan 2030 Planning Meeting**  
**Subgroup on Collaboration, Data, Research/Technology**  
**July 7, 2025 at 10:00 AM**

**Quick recap**

The team reviewed progress on their planning process, focusing on creating a more visual and achievable plan while discussing data analysis and collaboration goals with various stakeholders. They explored ways to improve language and partnerships in their objectives, particularly regarding tobacco-related initiatives and rural healthcare access, while also addressing challenges with FQHCs and data collection methods. The group concluded by discussing research funding goals and clinical trial accrual rates, with plans to form smaller working groups to advance specific goal areas before their next meeting.

**Summary**

**Enhancing Visual Planning Strategy**

The team discussed their progress on step 2 of a planning process, aiming to create a more visual and achievable plan than previous ones. They reviewed data and identified the need to strike a balance between aspirational goals and realistic objectives, considering past challenges with FCDS where objectives were aligned with operations but lacked funding. Bobbie and Leslie guided the team through the next steps, emphasizing the importance of establishing baselines and targets, while Erin and others worked on translating data into objectives.

**Cancer Plan Collaboration Goals**

The group discussed collaboration goals, focusing on stakeholder organizations and regional initiatives. They debated the use of the term "campaign" to describe their efforts, with some members expressing concerns about its ambiguity and difficulty in measurement. The discussion highlighted the progress made in creating a consistent distribution list of stakeholders and the ongoing work with regional collaboratives to advance cancer plan objectives.

**Revising Objectives for Rural Health**

The group discussed revising language in their objectives to better reflect their support of statewide efforts, particularly in tobacco-related initiatives and rural healthcare access. They agreed to consider using the term "partnerships" more broadly, with Bill highlighting the success of mobile mammography programs in reaching rural areas through Mamalink. Clement suggested adding a collaboration goal that would address both successful aspects and barriers, while noting the importance of involving FQHCs, which have sometimes been challenging to engage.

## **State Data Work Group Expansion**

The group discussed the State Data Work Group, agreeing to revise its membership to be more inclusive and expansive, with suggestions to add the Florida Primary Care Association and ACS representatives. They noted that many FQHCs have developed population health programs and data quality processes, potentially making them valuable contributors. The team also emphasized the importance of considering data sources that may be disappearing and ensuring alignment between funding priorities and cancer burden data.

## **Genetics Registry Data Integration Challenges**

The group developed pilot plans for integrating genetics and social determinants into the registry, but funding constraints have prevented implementation. Monique's work with Tobacco Free Florida has made progress on cancer screening data collection, with data gathered from the University of Miami and ongoing data use agreements with Moffitt and Memorial. The group discussed the need to explore alternative methods of obtaining data without relying solely on FCDS.

## **Cancer Registry Reporting Challenges**

The group discussed legislative constraints on cancer registry reporting, noting that the registry is an incident registry rather than a screening registry, which prevents reporting of screening cases and their negative results. David mentioned ongoing work with DOH to advance changes to the Administrative Code that would allow for screening reporting, though this process is expected to take about a year. The group also discussed a successful pilot program that connected researchers with ODS professionals for CEUs and data access, and Erin mentioned a separate dashboard tracking all screenings to cancer through Epic, though questions remained about how this would integrate with FCDS.

## **Research Funding Goals Review**

The group discussed research funding goals, with Paul explaining that while the state achieved success with Casey Desantis's initiatives and NCI designated centers, the King and Bankhead-Coley programs did not meet their funding targets of 10-40 million and 10-30 million respectively. They acknowledged that while the quality of scores improved, there were still unfunded applications, leading Clement to suggest keeping the current goals until these targets are met. Paul emphasized the need for broader buy-in and commitment from stakeholders when building the next 5-year plan.

## **Clinical Trials Funding Strategy Discussion**

The group discussed the importance of focusing on actual accrual rates for clinical trials in the state cancer plan, as opposed to funding, which is challenging to control. Danny suggested incorporating collaboration incentives and leveraging existing innovation grants to enhance

research and community implementation. Paul highlighted the uniqueness of Florida's plan due to its research component, which sets it apart from other state cancer plans. The group also considered integrating new funding opportunities, such as the Bascom Palmer Ocular Cancer Fund, into the state plan.

### **Project Progress and Goal Planning**

The team made good progress through step 2 of their project and discussed plans for the next meeting, which will focus on writing objectives and goals. Erin will be away for two weeks, but the team agreed to schedule the next meeting around her return. Leslie suggested forming smaller groups to work on specific goal areas in the interim, which Clement supported. Bobbie confirmed the participants for each goal area group, including Tiffany, Jen, Kenisha, and others. The team expressed appreciation for the clear and well-organized progress made so far.

### **Next steps**

- Bobbie to send out a meeting invite for the next meeting and follow-up message with instructions for step 3.
- All participants are to review their assigned goal areas and prepare proposed language for objectives before the next meeting.
- Tiffany, Jen, Kenisha, and Bill to work on collaboration goals and objectives.
- FCDS team to work on data-related goals and objectives.
- Paul and Danny to work on research-related goals and objectives.
- Till to participate in both data and collaboration goal areas.
- Bobbie to update the workbook with notes from the meeting discussion, including suggestions for new partnerships and data sources.
- David to continue working with DOH colleagues on advancing changes to the Administrative Code for screening reporting and molecular markers.
- Erin and David to discuss offline about integrating screening data from Sylvester's dashboard into FCDS.
- All participants are to consider how to incorporate Casey DeSantis's Innovation Fund projects into the cancer plan.

### **Relevant Links**

- <https://www.hcnetwork.org/> (great group to engage as data support to multiple FQHCs in the state.) - Felisha